UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,843	10/574,843 04/06/2006 Shinichi Y		288920US0PCT	5093
	7590 07/31/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET	OLADAPO, TAIWO		
ALEXANDRIA	1, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1797		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		A	Application N	plication No. Applicant(s)					
			10/574,843		YANAGI ET AL.				
		E	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Т	TAIWO OLAD	APO	1797				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this commun	ication appea	rs on the co	er sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the NO period for reply is signification. Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ATUTORY PERIOD FONGER, FROM THE ME available under the provisions on the mailing date of this commo becified above, the maximum state or extended period for reply Office later than three months at ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS (a). In no event, he apply and will exp use the applicatio	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tin re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Responsive to	communication(s) file	nd on 12 May	2009						
2a) This action is	• •	2b)⊠ This ac		inal					
<u> </u>		<i>/</i> —			osecution as to the	e merits is			
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	·	·							
·	5 <u>-8 <i>and 11-</i>23</u> is/are pe	nding in the :	annlication						
		-		eration					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
·	5) <u></u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-8 <i>and</i> 11-23</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ is/are objected to.	ecieu.							
		tion and/or a	lastian raqui	romont					
	_ are subject to restric	illon and/or e	nection requi	ement.					
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specificati	on is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accept	ted or b)☐ c	bjected to by the l	Examiner.				
Applicant may r	not request that any object	ction to the dra	awing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement d	rawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if	the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	s Patent Drawing Review (P Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment dated 05/12/2009 has been considered and entered for the record. The amendment overcomes some of the previous rejections, but other rejections are maintained.

Claims 11-13 are additionally rejected below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5 8, 14 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi (US 4,483,775)
- 4. In regards to claims 1, 3, Yamaguchi teaches a lubricating oil additive comprising a reaction product or complex of a metal salt of diisopropyl dithiophosphoric acid and (component A) and succinimide (component B) (column 1 line 50 column 2 line 25). The metal salt of diisopropyl dithiophosphoric acid is a phosphosulfurized hydrocarbon having two alkyl groups having ether bonds with a phosphorus compound (column 1 lines 50 67 structure).
- 5. In regards to claim 5, Yamaguchi teaches the lubricating oil additive, wherein the alkyl groups have 6 carbon atoms which meets the claimed limitation (column 1 lines 50 67 structure).

Art Unit: 1797

6. In regards to claim 6, Yamaguchi teaches a lubricating oil composition wherein the oil is derived from petroleum or synthetic sources, which are mineral and synthetic oils (column 6 lines 60-65).

- 7. In regards to claims 7, 8, 23, Yamaguchi teaches the lubricating oil comprising the base oil and phospho-sulfurized hydrocarbon additives according to the claimed invention, which are suitable as automatic transmission or continuously variable transmission fluids for wet clutches or wet brakes.
- 8. In regards to claims 14, 15, Yamaguchi teaches the lubricating oil composition wherein the phospho-sulfurized hydrocarbon (diisopropyl dithiophosphoric acid) and succinimide additive is present in the amount of preferably 1.5 to about 6 wt. % (column 4 lines 58 61).
- 9. In regards to claims 16, 17, Yamaguchi teaches the lubricating oil composition which comprises mineral or synthetic oil as previously stated.
- 10. In regards to claims 18 22, Yamaguchi teaches the lubricating oil having mineral or synthetic base oil and the reaction product as claimed, thus intrinsically having the viscosity and acid contents $%C_A$ in the range(s) recited. "Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).
- 11. Claims 1, 6 8, 11 20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryer et al. (EP 0 348 236 A2)

Art Unit: 1797

12. In regards to claims 1, 6, 11 - 13, 16, Ryer teaches a lubricating oil composition comprising a dispersant additive, wherein the oil can be mineral or synthetic oil (page 14 lines 20, 32), and the dispersant additive is a reaction product of succinimide and phosphosulfurized hydrocarbon prepared by reacting i.e. P_2S_5 and α or β -pinene which meets the limitations of the claim (abstract, page 13 lines 31 - 40, Example 1).

- 13. In regards to claims 7, 8, 17 20, Ryer teaches the composition used for power transmission fluids etc, which is suitable for lubricating continuously variable transmissions, wet clutches, wet brakes etc (page 14 lines 20 28). The viscosity of the base oil ranges from preferably about 3.5 to 5cst at 100° C which meets the limitations in claims 18 20.
- 14. In regards to claims 14, 15, Ryer teaches the composition wherein the lubricating oil comprises the dispersant in the amount of from 0.1 7% (page 18, Table 3).

Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments with respect to the references used in the previous office action are not persuasive.
- 16. The applicant has amended claim 1 with limitation from cancelled claim 10 which was not previously rejected. The limitations recites succinimide compound reacted with a phospho sulfurized hydrocarbon compound. This limitation is met by Yamaguchi as discussed above.
- 17. However, since claims 10 13 were not properly rejected previously, finality is not made in view of amendment.

Art Unit: 1797

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAIWO OLADAPO whose telephone number is (571)270-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OT

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797